

## Behavior of the Chebyshev Operator of Best Approximation from a Curve of Functions

CHARLES B. DUNHAM

*Computer Science Department, University of Western Ontario,  
London, Ontario, Canada*

*Communicated by T. J. Rivlin*

Received November 18, 1975

The local behavior of the Chebyshev operator of best approximation from a curve of functions (of which exponential sums are a special case) is studied, with emphasis on local existence.

Let  $\psi$  be continuous on the open interval  $(\mu, \nu)$  (which may be infinite). Let  $[\alpha, \beta]$  be a closed finite interval. Let  $(\gamma, \delta)$  be an open interval such that  $ax \in (\mu, \nu)$  for  $a \in (\gamma, \delta)$  and  $x \in [\alpha, \beta]$ . Let  $n > 0$ ,  $m \geq 0$  and let  $V_{n,m}(\psi)$  be the set of functions of the form

$$F(A, x) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \psi(a_{n+k}x) + \sum_{k=1}^m a_{2n+k} x^{k-1} \quad a_{n+k} \in (\gamma, \delta).$$

A classical set of functions of this form is the set of exponential sums  $V_{n,0}(\exp)$ , with  $(\mu, \nu) = (\gamma, \delta) = (-\infty, \infty)$ . General families of the form  $V_{n,0}(\psi)$  were first studied by Hobby and Rice [4].

There is no loss of generality in requiring that  $a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{2n}$  be distinct and that all be nonzero if  $m > 0$ , which we assume henceforth. Define the *degeneracy* of  $F$  at  $A$ , denoted by  $d(A)$ , to be the number of zeros in  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ . In many cases of interest,  $F$  is varisolvent (see Rice [5, 3 ff] for the definition and the basic theory) and, in particular,  $F$  is unisolvent of degree  $2n + m - d(A)$  at  $A$ . We henceforth assume that this is the case. Consider the Chebyshev approximation of  $f \in C[\alpha, \beta]$  by  $V_{n,m}(\psi)$ . A best approximation is characterized by alternation of its error curve and is unique. Denote the best approximation to  $f$  (if it exists) by  $T(f)$ . Only in very simple cases do best approximations exist to all  $f \in C[\alpha, \beta]$ . In particular, with  $\psi = \exp$ , global existence occurs only for  $n = 1$  and  $m = 0$ , that is, only in the case where  $F(A, x) = a_1 \exp(a_2x)$ . However, there is a local existence result due to the author [1].

**THEOREM 1.** *Let the best approximation  $F(A, \cdot)$  to  $f$  be of maximum degree (that is,  $d(A) = 0$ ). There is a neighborhood of  $f$  in  $C[\alpha, \beta]$  such that any element of that neighborhood has a best approximation.  $\{f_k\} \rightarrow f$  implies  $\{T(f_k)\} \rightarrow T(f)$ .*

In the above theorem (and subsequently)  $\rightarrow$  denotes uniform convergence on  $[\alpha, \beta]$ . No serious study has been made of what happens to existence when best approximations are not of maximum degree, except for the analysis of Schmidt [6, p. 170] of the case in which  $f$  is a degenerate approximation.

**DEFINITION.**  $V_{n,m}(\psi)$  is *m-empty* if no sum of an element of  $V_{n,0}(\psi)$  and a polynomial of exact degree  $\geq m$  is in  $V_{n,m}(\psi)$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $V_{n,m}(\psi)$  be m-empty. Let  $V_{1,m}(\psi)$  contain a sequence  $\{g_j\} \rightarrow p$ , a polynomial having exact degree  $\geq m$ . Let  $f$  be an approximation of less than maximum degree. There exists  $\{f_k\}$  converging uniformly to  $f$  with  $f_k$  having no best approximation from  $V_{n,m}(\psi)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f = F(A, \cdot)$  and  $f_k = F(A, \cdot) + p/k$ . We have

$$h_{jk} = F(A, \cdot) + g_j/k \in V_{n,m}(\psi)$$

and

$$\|f_k - h_{jk}\| \rightarrow 0.$$

But  $f_k \notin V_{n,m}(\psi)$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $V_{n,m+1}(\psi)$  also be varisolvent with an element having degeneracy  $\ell$  being of degree  $2n + m + 1 - \ell$ . Let  $V_{n,m}(\psi)$  be m-empty. Let  $V_{1,m}(\psi)$  contain a sequence  $\{g_j\} \rightarrow p$ , a polynomial of exact degree  $m$ . Let  $d(A) > 0$ . Let  $f - F(A, \cdot) \not\equiv 0$  alternate at least  $2n + m + 1 - d(A)$  times. There exists  $\{f_k\} \rightarrow f$  with  $f_k$  having no best approximation from  $V_{n,m}(\psi)$ .*

*Proof.* Define

$$f_k = f + p/k \quad h_k = F(A, \cdot) + p/k;$$

then  $f - F(A, \cdot) = f_k - h_k$  alternates  $2n + m + 1 - d(A)$  times.  $\{f_k - F(A, \cdot) - g_j/k\} \rightarrow f_k - h_k$ ; hence if a best approximation  $F(B, \cdot)$  exists to  $f_k$  from  $V_{n,m}(\psi)$

$$\|f_k - F(B, \cdot)\| \leq \|f_k - h_k\|.$$

By an argument due to de la Vallée-Poussin [2, p. 226], this implies that  $F(B, \cdot) - h_k$  has  $2n + m + 1 - d(A)$  zeros, counting double zeros twice. But  $h_k \in V_{n,m+1}(\psi)$  and has degree  $2n + m + 1 - d(A)$ . Therefore, a

difference of it and  $F(B, \cdot) \in V_{n,m+1}(\psi)$  can have at most  $2n + m - d(A)$  zeros, counting double zeros twice, or  $F(B, \cdot) \equiv h_k$  [5, 4]. But  $h_k \notin V_{n,m}(\psi)$  and we have a contradiction.

What happens when exactly  $2n + m - d(A)$  alternations occur is not known in general. However, from [3, p. 107] we have

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $d(A) > 0$  and  $f - F(A, \cdot)$  alternate exactly  $2n + m - d(A)$  times. There exists  $\{f_k\} \rightarrow f$  such that no subsequence  $\{f_{k(j)}\}$  has existence of best approximations and uniform convergence of best approximations from  $V_{n,m}(\psi)$  to  $F(A, \cdot)$ .*

Following Schmidt [6], we could define the Chebyshev operator  $T$  to be continuous at  $f$  if (i) best approximations exist in a neighborhood of  $f$  and (ii)  $\{f_k\} \rightarrow f$  implies  $T(f_k) \rightarrow T(f)$ . Combining Theorems 1-4, we get

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $V_{n,m}(\psi)$  be  $m$ -empty. Let  $V_{1,m}(\psi)$  have a polynomial  $p$  of exact degree  $m$  as a limit point. Let  $V_{n,m+1}(\psi)$  be varisolvent with elements of degeneracy  $\ell$  being of degree  $2n + m + 1 - \ell$ .  $T$  is continuous at  $f$  if and only if  $T(f)$  exists and is of maximum degree.*

In Theorems 2, 3, 5, a hypothesis was that  $V_{1,m}(\psi)$  had a limit point  $p$ , a polynomial of degree  $m$  or more. A sufficient condition for this to occur is given by the following theorem.

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $\psi$  have a Taylor series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$  convergent in a neighborhood of zero and  $l$  be the lowest index  $k \geq m$  such that  $a_k \neq 0$ . Any polynomial of the form  $ax^\ell + p(x)$ ,  $p$  of degree  $m - 1$ , is a limit point of  $V_{1,m}(\psi)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p_j(x)$  be the polynomial obtained by truncating the Taylor series for  $(a_j^\ell/a_\ell) \psi(x/j)$  at degree  $m - 1$ , then

$$(a_j^\ell/a_\ell) \psi(x/j) - p_j(x) + p(x) = ax^\ell + p(x) + ar_j(x),$$

where

$$r_j(x) = \left( \sum_{k=\ell+1}^{\infty} a_k x^k j^{\ell-k} \right) / a_\ell.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_\ell r_j(x)| &\leq \sum_{k=\ell+1}^{\infty} |a_k x^k j^{\ell-k}| \\ &\leq |a_{\ell+1} x^{\ell+1}| / j + \sum_{k=\ell+2}^{\infty} |a_k (x/j^{1/(\ell+2)})^k| / j. \end{aligned}$$

For  $x \in [\alpha, \beta]$  and all  $j$  sufficiently large  $x/j^{1/(\ell-2)}$  is in the region of convergence of  $\psi$ , hence the right-hand side tends to zero uniformly on  $[\alpha, \beta]$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .

Theorems 2 and 6 show that the existence of best approximations to all  $f \in C[\alpha, \beta]$  can occur only in very simple cases. For example with  $\psi(x) = \exp(x)$ , existence is guaranteed only when  $n = 1, m = 0$ .

We remarked earlier that what happens to nearby existence when  $d(A) > 0$  and  $f - F(A, \cdot)$  alternates exactly  $2n + m - d(A)$  times is unknown in general. The following example shows that nonexistence nearby need not occur even if nonexistence occurs globally.

EXAMPLE. Let  $[\alpha, \beta] = [0, 1]$  and let  $f(x) = T_2^*(x) = 8x^2 - 8x + 1$ , the second Chebyshev polynomial on  $[0, 1]$ . Approximate  $f$  by  $V_{1,1}(\psi)$ , where  $\psi(x) = \log(1+x)$ , discussed in [7]. As  $f$  alternates twice on  $[0, 1]$ , 0 is the unique best approximation to  $f$ . Suppose  $g$  exists near  $f$  with no best approximation from  $V_{1,1}(\psi)$ . As best approximations by  $H = V_{1,1}(\psi) \cup \{cx + d\}$  exist to all elements of  $C[0, 1]$  by [8],  $g$  has a best approximation in  $H$ , which must, therefore, be a first degree polynomial  $cx + d$ . By the characterization of best approximations by  $H$  in [8],  $g(x) - cx - d$  alternates at least three times (the amplitude must be close to 1 by standard results on continuity of the error functional). But  $f(x) - cx - d$  is a polynomial of degree two and cannot approximately alternate three times with amplitude near 1. We have a contradiction and  $g$  does not exist.

Exactly the same situation occurs when we approximate by  $V_{1,1}(\psi)$ ,  $\psi = \exp$ .

The applicability of the theory of this paper obviously depends on what families  $V_{n,m}(\psi)$  are alternating with the required degree. The author has proven that  $V_{n,m}(\psi)$  is alternating with the required degree when

$$(i) \quad \psi(x) = 1/(1-x) \quad m \geq 0 \quad [12]$$

$$(ii) \quad \psi(x) = \exp(x) \quad m \geq 0 \quad [13]$$

$$(iii) \quad \psi(x) = \log(1+x) \quad m = 1 \quad [9]$$

$$m \geq 1 \quad [13]$$

$$(iv) \quad n = 1, m = 0, \psi \text{ varied} \quad [10]$$

$$n = 1, m = 1, \psi \text{ varied} \quad [11]$$

$$n = 1, m \text{ general}, \psi \text{ varied} \quad [13]$$

Varisolvence follows from results of Barrar and Loeb.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. B. DUNHAM, Continuity of the varisolvent Chebyshev operator, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **74** (1968), 606-608.

2. C. B. DUNHAM, Chebyshev approximation with respect to a weight function, *J. Approximation Theory* **2** (1969), 223-232.
3. C. B. DUNHAM, Alternating Chebyshev approximation, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* (1973), 95-109.
4. C. R. HOBBS AND J. R. RICE, Approximation from a curve of functions, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **24** (1967), 91-106.
5. J. RICE, "The Approximation of Functions," Vol. 2, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969.
6. E. SCHMIDT, Stetigkeitsaussagen bei der Tschebyscheff-Approximation mit Exponentialsummen, *Math. Z.* **113** (1970), 159-170.
7. C. B. DUNHAM, Chebyshev approximation by  $A + B * \text{LOG}(1 + CX)$ , I, *J. Inst. Math. Appl.* **8** (1971), 371-373.
8. C. B. DUNHAM, Chebyshev approximation by  $A + B * \text{LOG}(1 + CX)$ , II, *J. Inst. Math. Appl.* **10** (1972), 369-372.
9. C. B. DUNHAM, Chebyshev approximation by logarithmic families, *Z. Angw. Math. Mech.* **53** (1973), 352-353.
10. C. B. DUNHAM, Chebyshev approximation by  $A\phi(BX)$ , *Z. Angw. Math. Mech.* **53** (1973), 353-354.
11. C. B. DUNHAM, Chebyshev approximation by  $A + B*\phi(CX)$ , *Computing* **13** (1974), 205-213.
12. C. B. DUNHAM, Chebyshev approximation by polynomial rational sums, *Z. Angw. Math. Mech.* **55** (1975), 758-759.
13. C. B. DUNHAM, unpublished manuscripts.